

1
2 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
3 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

4
5 YIRENYS GONZALEZ-VIAMONTES, *et*
6 *al.*,

7 Plaintiff(s),

8 v.

9 ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY,

10 Defendant.

Case No. 2:24-cv-01421-GMN-NJK

Scheduling Order

[Docket No. 11]

11 Pending before the Court is a joint discovery plan, Docket No. 11, which is **DENIED**.

12 First, the discovery plan seeks special scheduling without sufficient explanation. Local
13 Rule 26-1(b)(1). The discovery plan seeks a longer discovery period because “the parties will
14 require additional time for disclosure of experts and depositions” as the case has multiple plaintiffs
15 and medical providers. Docket No. 11 at 5. The parties fail to demonstrate how these facts cause
16 this case to be different than many others that come before this Court, and, therefore, require more
17 time than the default discovery period.

18 Second, the discovery plan seeks an extended deadline for initial disclosures that is 10 days
19 after the submission of the discovery plan.¹ Docket No. 11 at 4. However, the default deadline
20 for initial disclosures is 14 days after the Rule 26(f) conference. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(C). No
21 explanation is provided as to why Defendant’s initial disclosures have not yet been provided as
22 the parties held their Rule 26(f) conference on September 5, 2024.

23 An amended discovery plan must be filed by September 30, 2024.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: September 25, 2024

26 
Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge

27
28 ¹ The stipulation is dated September 18, 2024, Docket No. 11 at 5, but the stipulation was filed on
September 24, 2024.